(Hon'ble Member Sri R. N. Lakshmipathi, Sri V. M. Deo stood up).

Mr SPEAKER.—I have said that there is no debate on this. cannot permit any more speeches.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Sir, I want to make a distinction between ..

Mr. SPEAKER.—Distinction of what?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವಿ. ಗೌಡ.—ಅವರು ಕ್ಷಮಾಪಣೆ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ, ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಿಸುವುದು ಸರಿಯೂ ದುದೂ ಅಲ್ಲ, ನ್ಯಾಯ ಬದ್ಧವಾದುದಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What the member has stated is less forcible than what Sri S. Sivapa stated.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎನ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪತಿ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಮನಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಹರ್ಷಯನ್ನು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಪೋನ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If Members do not understand, what can I do? Motions of this type cannot be postponed. ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಡಿಬೇಟ್ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಕಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನನಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ. I will put it to the House. The question is:

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಮಾದೇಗೌಡ (ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ).—ನಾವು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ವಲ್ಲಾ. ಡಿಸಿಶನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದನ್ನು ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕು. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ದಿವಸ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. When the Speaker is standing if the Member does not know the elementary rule, I will ask him to retire from the House. Does he not know that when the Speaker is on his legs, no Member should stand up? Or is it simply because I am yielding? Hereafter I will strictly enforce the rule. I will put the motion to the House:

The question is:

"That as the action of Hon'ble Member Sri S. Gopala Gowda on Saturday the 6th instant in forcibly dragging an officer of the Legislature Secretariat by his shirt into the House while the House was sitting amounts to a serious contempt of the House committed in the view of the House, this House resolves that the Hon'ble Member be suspended from the service of the House for the rest of the current session."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have to request the Hon ble Member to retire from the House.

(The Hon'ble Member Sri S. Gopal Gowda withdrew from the House).

Motion *Re*: The Action of Sri S. Gopal Gowda in Throwing Awayaletter Addressed to the Speaker

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now the other matter is one relating to a letter addressed to me by the Hon'ble Member Smt. Nagaratnamma. I have read the contents. It was addressed to the Chair and not to the Hon'ble Member Sri S. Gopal Gowda. Before I took any action on that I wanted the Hon'ble Member to state his views. I sent it to him with a request to peruse and return It did not come back to me and I had asked the

messenger to get back the letter. He waited for the answer; something that transpired there I do not wish to repeat at this stage. So far as I am individually concerned, I do not want that anything should be done for the sake of the Chair. But I do want the House to consider and I have received a notice of a resolution from the concerned member whose slip was torn. It is an affront to her. It is a piece of paper to be kept as record; it is a property of the House and it was thrown away and I had to get it picked up. When I asked where it was, the answer given is in the records. I do not wish to repeat.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿರೇಮಠ್ (ಗಾಂಧಿನಗರ).—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೆ, ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಒಂದು ಘಟನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಕ್ಕು ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಡಲು ತಮ್ಮ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ, ಇದನ್ನು ಓದುತ್ತೇನೆ:

> "Under rule 177 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly, I wish to raise a question involving the breach of privilege of the Assembly, namely, that on the 6th March 1965 I wrote a letter to the Hon'ble Speaker drawing his attention to a certain incident that took place in the House and the Hon'ble Speaker forwarded the letter to the Hon'ble Member Sri S. Gopal Gowda for perusal and return whereupon the said member according to his own admission on the Floor of the House tore up the letter and threw it away which amounts to breach of privilege, and contempt of the Speaker and the House. I request that this matter may be referred to the committee of privileges."

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೆ,

6-3-1965ನೆಯ, ಶನಿವಾರ, ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಾದ ಘಟನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ವರದಿಗಾರರೊಬ್ಬರನ್ನು (ಸರಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರನ್ನು) ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯರೊಬ್ಬರು ಆ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯ ಕಂಡಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯನ್ನು ಪೋಲೀಸರು ಎಳೆದು ತರುವಂತೆ ಎಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಾಗ, ಅಗೌರವವಾದ ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವ ನಾಗರೀಕ ಮಾನವನೂ ಸಹಿಸದಂಥ ಈ ಘಟನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ನೊಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯೆಯೊಬ್ಬರು, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಯ ಬಯಸಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರು.

Mr. SPEAKER. That is over; on that action is taken.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿರೇಮಠ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಆ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು, ವರದಿಗಾರನ್ನು ಎಳೆದು ತಂದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಈ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸ ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕಳಿಸಿದಾಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅದನ್ನು ಹರಿದು ಬಿಸಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ನಾನು ಎಂಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯಳಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ವೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲಿ, ಆಡಳಿತ ಪಕ್ಷದವರೇ ಆಗಲಿ, ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೊಬ್ಬರು, ಯಾವ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೇ ಆಗಲಿ, ಅಗೌರವ ಮತ್ತು ಅಪ್ರಿಯವಾಗುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದದ್ದನ್ನು ಕಾಣಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭೆಯು ತನ್ನ ಉನ್ನತಮಟ್ಟ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾಯ್ದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯಿಣಿಯಾದ ನಾನು ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಹರಿದು ಹಾಕಿ, ನನ್ನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಗೌರವ ತೋರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಕಳಿಸಿದ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು ಹರಿದು ಹಾಕಿ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೂ ಅಗೌರವ ತೋರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಓದುವಷ್ಟು ತಾಳ್ಮೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.

(ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿರೇಮಠ)

ಈ ಒಂದು ಅಗೌರವವಾದ ಕೃತ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಆಲೋಚಿಸ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೂ ಕೂಡ ಈ ಘಟನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೀವ್ರ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇಂಥ ಘಟನೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುಬಾರದು. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಯಾದರೂ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾದ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಒತ್ತಾಯ ದಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ನಾನ್ ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ನೌಕರರ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಜೆಯ ಸ್ಥಾನದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡುವ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸರಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರನ ಆತ್ಮ ಗೌರವಕ್ಕೇ ಧಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರಜಾಸತ್ತೆಗೆ ಕಳಂಕ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ವರದಿಗಾರರೊಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಎಳೆದು ತಂದುದು...

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—I raise a point of order. She wants feather to her cap. How is that point relevant to N.G.Os Salary and other things?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Point of order may not be relevant. It may not be acceptable. It may be a wrong argument. It may be irrelevant. But Members cannot say that point of order arises.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The question under discussion is something. She is making some other statement.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give you 10 minutes. Can the member convince me that it is a point of order?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Your ruling is that the Hon'ble Members should speak relevantly.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does it amount to a point of order? Has she anything in support of it. Will the member tell something that is is relevant? What he says does not amount to a point of order.

Sri P. VENKATAGIRIYAPPA.—She is making a motion. She cannot make a speech.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Can I ask a member to speak to the satisfaction of every individual? He may be having his own views in his mind. How can I know it?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಸ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪತಿ.—ಒಂದು ನಿಮಿಷ ನನಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

(At this stage some members stood up to speak.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—They seem to be drifting away from the rules. What are the rules for? Some Hon'ble Members are disturbing and interjecting. We have already spent 45 minutes.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿರೇಮಠ್.—ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ವರದಿಗಾರರೊಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಎಳೆದು ತಂದುದು ತುಂಬಾ ವಿಷಾದವಾದ ಸಂಗತಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ ರೂ ಕೂಡ ದೀರ್ಘವಾಗಿ ಯೋಚಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸ ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ರಾಜ್ಯದ ನಾನ್ ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ನೌಕರರ ಗೌರವ, ಘನತೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಯಾರಿಂದಲೇ ಆಗಲೀ ಧಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾರೂ ಸಹಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಪೊನ್ನೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟರಿಗಾದ ಅವಮಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ವಿಷಾದಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಗೌರವಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕುದಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಿಂದ ಪಾಕ್ ಔಟು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to bring one information to the notice of the Hon'ble House, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not allowing. Until I call upon him the Hon'ble Member cannot speak.

(At this stage a voice was heard from the visitors' gallery. The Hon'ble Speaker ordered that person might be brought down).

This is how it happens if the members go on talking like this.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I give a suggestion, Sir? My humble suggestion is that under such contingency, the Hon'ble Speaker has got a right not to allow people in the gallery.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am thankful to the member.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—Sir, because of the misbehaviour of a person in the visitors' gallery, I do not think all the visitors could be shut out from watching the deliberations.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That suggestion I will keep in mind.

Sri S SIVAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member is referring to an incident on which we have already taken decision. The issue was the misbehaviour of Sri S. Gopala Gowda against a Reporter. The Hon'ble Member need not have referred to that. The second issue is about the chit sent and how it was trated and all that. I request you not to refer to the point which is already decided and decision taken. The only thing that is to be referred to is regarding the chit.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why does he anticipate? Action has already been taken.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—The Hon'ble Member has raised this point of privilege. The issue has already been thrashed out in this House and the Hon'ble Member said that the contempt of the House has been committed which was raised by Sri Puttaswamy, Minister for Municipal Administration. That issue has been discussed and the Hon'ble Speaker was kind enough to put it to the vote of the House and has suspended the member. The Hon'ble Speaker has judiciously done it. I do not know whether the Hon'ble member has a right to raise it again on the same point which has already been thrashed out. There is no need to send it to the Privilege Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now the Hon'ble Member raised a point of order. The Hon'ble Member raised a point of order without understanding what the point is. The point is with regard to the letter which was given and which was torn to pieces and that is the question of privilege.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—The tearing of the paper is the part and parcel of that issue, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Which issue he has in mind I do not know.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎನ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪತಿ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡರು ಒಂದು ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಸಭೆ ಆದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಯಿಂದು ಈಗ ಎರಡನೇದು ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ದೊಡ್ಡದಾಗಿ ಎರಡನೇ ಸಾರಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಮೇಲೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತೆ ನನಗೆ ಕಾಣಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯು ಮೇಲೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈಗ ತಂದಿರುವ ಮೋಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ವಿನಂತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—If the Hon'ble Speaker were to consider the second incident, *i.e.*, tearing of the letter, of course, privilege issue would arise. The question would be whether it has not emerged from the original incident. The letter written by Smt. Nagarathnamma Hiremath relating to the second incident, has become according to her, supposed breach of privilege of the House. My submission is that the second incident would not have happened, but for the first incident. So, we have got to consider it that it has happened in the same transaction. If my interpretation is to be accepted, I would submit that the punishment given for the first incident would absolve the second incident also. Therefore, it may be dropped.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is, whether it is one which is independent of the other or not!

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—No doubt, it has got an independent character by itself. As it is, the source relates to the first incident. But for the first incident, the second would not have come.

Sri R. K. PRASAD (Anekal).—Sir, I want a clarification about tearing of the chit as to whether he did it inside the House or outside.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am told, it is outside the House, in the Lobby.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—Then, has the House got anything to do with it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not know. It is for you to tell the House.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—I want the Hon'ble Speaker to give his opinion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before I could think over the matter, is the Speaker to be cross-examined?

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—I just wanted an enlightenment, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member places me in a position that I have to give enlightenment? I don't think that there is enough enlightenment in me.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—This is only a Motion.

(*Hon'ble Member Sri Byrappaji stood up*)

Mr. SPEAKER.—This enthusiasm is outrunning anything and everything in this world. That is what I do not understand.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.....I do not know whether you will appreciate my stand. About two days back.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is that to-day?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Day-before-yesterday, something happened here, and I brought it to your notice. Whether it is within the purview of the Rules that we are debating in the House, about a Press Reporter using a Transister.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. I have already said that I am not permitting that kind of a thing. He wants information from me. When I want to give the information, he is turning back and talking with his friend. I don't give the information.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I do not want the information. The viewpoint that I am placing before you is that some concession would have been shown to Sri Gopala Gowda also. It is not because what

action or decision you have taken. I am making a submission that he has expressed his apology.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri C. J. Muckannappa raised a point saying that somebody has brought a Transister into the Lobby. I have asked for a report; the report is to come to me, and after that I will give the Ruling.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I am very sorry, Sir. I have already received a letter that such a thing will not be permitted hereafter in the Lobby. You have already taken a decision. I thought that such a thing has happened with your permission.

Mr. SPEAKER.—With whose permission what has happened?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In your letter you have permitted. If so, the Legislators may also be permitted...

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not permitted.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—That is what your letter says.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You get that letter.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I will get it to-morrow, because it is not with me here. I will place it before this House also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Do you take it as a Ruling? When I have not permitted, the matter ends there.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—That is my little knowledge; that is how I presume. What has happened has happened. In that view, I request the Chair that you must make up your mind to reconsider the issue, because at the spur of the moment, at the heat of the moment, something will happen. Such matters should not be pursued further to penalise a Member. That is how I feel about it. I do not know how the Hon'ble Members of the House feel about it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the Hon'ble Member participating in a Debate under a Rule?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I am only appealing to the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Appealing without my permission!

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I appeal to the House to reconsider the whole aspect of the matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are ways and means of doing it. Let it be done in the proper procedure.

I suppose it is fairly clear that this is a matter which cannot be said without any substance whatsoever. Whether there is a breach of privilege or not, I am not going to express any opinion at this stage. I propose to send it to the Previleges Committee, and let the report of the Privileges Committee come. I do not want to express an opinion particularly as the Hon'ble Member Sri S. Gopala Gowda is not here before the House to say anything in respect of that position. I do not think there is any need to wait any longer to refer it to the Committee of Privileges. I suppose the House agrees.

ಶ್ರಿ ಕೆ. ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೆಜಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಬೇಡಿ ಎಂದು ಸಭೆಗೂ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order.

Is it the desire of the House that it should go to the Privileges Committee ?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It will be referred to the Privileges Committee and we will await its report.

The House will now rise to reassemble half-an-hour later.

The House adjourned for Recess at Three of the Clock and reassembled at Thirty Minutes past Three of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. SPEAKER.—Today and tomorrow are set apart for general discussion on the Budget.

Sri SIDDAIAH KASHIMATH (Shirahatti).—Day after tomorrow also we will have to take it up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member must ask the Business Advisory Committee. I cannot go on repeatedly requesting the whips to give me their lists. I propose only to adhere to the rules. One more request I am making to Members not to get up in their seats and say 'Sir, Sir'...I suggest that anybody can come and meet me five minutes before I come to the dais and apprise me of what he wants to say. If he does not do it, I will not allow him here. If he cannot send me a note five minutes earlier even, I do not think I should go further than that. I am prepared to meet anybody. Please don't make requests on the Floor of the House and please don't make any unnecessary comments and running commentaries. I am going to enforce the rule very strictly. If only Members were to know that some Hon'ble Members came to my chambers and wept they can understand how this kind of intolerance is to be permitted in the House. There must be a limit and sense of proportion. Therefore I have permitted and I never refused a member when he got up and said 'please', because I want his affection. If he does not appreciate what I am doing I will simply enforce the rules. Beyond that no duty is cast on me.

Members will kindly find that the time for submitting cut motions has been intimated by circulation. I am told there has been some delay. Cut motions are to be submitted at the latest by today according to that. I know that there will be inconvenience caused to the members on account of late circulation. I am prepared to receive cut motions till tomorrow. The point is that I will have to